IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ALBANY DIVISION

FOLIAR NUTRIENTS, INC., BIG BEND AGRI-SERVICES, INC., and MONTY)
FERRELL,)
Plaintiffs, v.) Case No. 1:14-cv-00075-WLS
NATIONWIDE AGRIBUSINESS INSURANCE COMPANY,)))
Defendant.)

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56, Plaintiffs Foliar Nutrients, Inc., Big Bend Agri-Services, Inc. and Monty Ferrell (collectively "Big Bend"), move for summary judgment in their favor against their insurer, Nationwide Agribusiness Insurance Company ("NABIC"). Big Bend requests that the Court declare that NABIC has and has had a duty to defend Big Bend in the underlying litigation styled *Foliar Nutrients, Inc. v. Plant Food Systems, Inc., et al*, Case No. 6:13-cv-748-orl-37KRS, filed in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida) (hereafter the "PFS Lawsuit") from the time of the filing of the Answer and Counterclaim against Big Bend in the PFS Lawsuit (the "PFS Counterclaim") and continuing until the PFS Lawsuit is fully and finally resolved. Big Bend also requests an award of all its incurred and unreimbursed defense fees and costs in the PFS Lawsuit from the date of the filing of the PFS Counterclaim, along with post- and pre-judgment interest and any and all other relief the Court deems just and necessary.

As a matter of law, NABIC breached its duty to defend under a commercial general liability policy it issued to Big Bend (the "CGL Policy") by failing and refusing, without just

cause or excuse, to accept Big Bend's defense in the PFS Lawsuit after the filing of the PFS

Counterclaim, despite the fact that the PFS Counterclaim asserted claims that fell within the

CGL Policy's "Personal and Advertising Injury" coverage section. "Personal and Advertising

Injury" is defined to include "oral or written publication, in any manner, of material that slanders

or libels a person or organization or disparages a person's or organization's goods, products or

services." Specifically, the PFS Counterclaim alleges that Big Bend made allegedly disparaging

and false comments about PFS and the fertilizer products PFS sold and manufactured. PFS

claims that Big Bend told PFS customers that they would be subject to "expensive and time

consuming litigation" if they purchased and used PFS's products. PFS further claims that Big

Bend's actions "constitute false and misleading descriptions of fact and representations of fact

about . . . PFS' product." The PFS Lawsuit seeks damages under various theories, including

unfair competition and Lanham Act violations.

A memorandum in support of this motion is filed herewith and incorporated by reference.

Also submitted in support of this motion are Exhibits A through E.

Accordingly, Big Bend requests that its Motion for Summary Judgment be granted.

Date: August 5, 2014

Respectfully submitted,

LATHROP & GAGE LLP

By: /s/ Kimberly K. Winter

Justin Poplin GA Bar No. 142033

jpoplin@lathropgage.com

Michael J. Abrams (admitted *pro hac vice*)

mabrams@lathropgage.com

Kimberly K. Winter (admitted *pro hac vice*)

kwinter@lathropgage.com

2345 Grand Boulevard, Suite 2200

Kansas City, Missouri 64108-2618

Telephone: (816) 292-2000

Telecopier: (816) 292-2001

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

- 2 -

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that, on this 5th day of August, 2014, the foregoing was electronically filed and served by the ECF Court filing system on the below named counsel:

Julius F. "Rick" Parker III
William L. Wallis
Butler Pappas Weihmuller Katz Craig, LLP
3600 Maclay Blvd., Suite 101
Tallahassee, FL 32312
jparker@butlerpappas.com
wwallis@butlerpappas.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

/s/ Kimberly K. Winter
An Attorney for Plaintiff

22035306v1 - 3 -